

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,806	11/21/2003	Leonid Razoumov	010052D1	3290
	7590 09/14/200 INCORPORATED	7	EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.		MALEK, LEILA	
SAN DIEGO, O	. 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2611	·
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·.	10/719,806	RAZOUMOV ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leila Malek	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	l		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Jules</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/21/2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. l accepted or b) □ objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list *	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,2, 5, 6, 8-11, 14, and 15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi et al. (hereafter, referred as Choi) (US 6,757,537), in view of Balachandran (US 6,608,828).

As to claims 1, 8, and 9, Choi discloses a power control device and method in a mobile communication system (see the abstract and column 1, second paragraph). Choi discloses determining an energy value (i.e. power control parameter has been interpreted as energy value) (see the abstract, column 2, lines 41-52) for a transmission from a first station (interpreted as a base station) to a second station (interpreted as a remote terminal); forming a message carrying the energy value (See Fig. 12, block 1214); and transmitting the message to the second station. Choi discloses all the subject matters claimed in claim 1, except that there is a decoder residing in the second station. However, it would have been extremely well known in the art at the time of invention to use a decoder at the remote station (the receiver) to extract the original

transmitted data from the coded information received from the base station. Choi also does not disclose that the energy value transmitted to the second station aids the decoder to decode the transmission. Balachandran discloses a header (interpreted as a message) that is repeatedly transmitted and received, along with data, on a radio channel, wherein the header is decoded to identify values for the header fields (See the abstract). Balachandran further discloses that the header comprises a power reduction field (See column 4, lines 25-30) to increase the reliability of the decoding process (See column 4, lines 25-33). Therefore, for the reasons stated above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Choi as suggested by Balachandran.

As to claim 10, Choi discloses a power control device and method in a mobile communication system (see the abstract and column 1, second paragraph). Choi discloses a transmission power control unit for determining an energy value (see the abstract, column 2, lines 41-52) for a transmission from a first station (interpreted as a base station) to a second station (interpreted as a remote terminal); a channel element coupled to the transmission power control unit for forming a message carrying the energy value (See Fig. 12, block 1214); and for transmitting the message to the second station. Choi discloses all the subject matters claimed in claim 10, except that there is a decoder residing in the second station. However, it would have been extremely well known in the art at the time of invention to use a decoder at the remote station (the receiver) to extract the original transmitted data from the coded information received from the base station. Choi also does not disclose that the energy value transmitted to

the second station aids the decoder to decode the transmission. Balachandran discloses a header that is repeatedly transmitted and received, along with data, on a radio channel, wherein the header is decoded to identify values for the header fields (See the abstract). Balachandran further discloses that the header comprises a power reduction field (See column 4, lines 25-30) to increase the reliability of the decoding process (See column 4, lines 25-33). Therefore, for the reasons stated above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Choi as suggested by Balachandran.

As to claims 2 and 11, Balachandran discloses transmitting the message comprises positioning the message in a preamble (the header interpreted as preamble) (See Fig. 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to position the power control information in the preamble in order to inform the power control information to the second station right after the start of data reception and adjust signal power as soon as possible.

As to claims 5 and 14, Choi discloses that the step of forming a message carrying the energy value comprises: locating the energy value in look-up table (or memory) (see column 2, lines 41-52); and including an index value corresponding to the energy value in the message (see column 16, lines 32-44).

As to claims 6 and 15, Choi discloses that the first station is a base station and the second station is a remote station (see the abstract and column 2, lines 42-52).

Art Unit: 2611

3. Claims 3, 4, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi and Balachandran, further in view of Guo et al. (hereafter, referred as Guo) (US 6,389,034).

As to claims 3 and 12, Choi and Balachandran disclose all the subject matters claimed in claims 1 and 10, except that the step of transmitting the message (power control information) comprises positioning the message in a sub-packet. Guo, in the same field of endeavor, discloses an apparatus comprising a base station and plurality of remote terminals. Guo discloses a frame structure, which includes sub-channel information (including power control information) being transmitted from the base station to the remote terminals or vice versa (see column 14, last paragraph). Guo further discloses that transmitting the power control information comprises positioning the information in a sub-packet (See column 14, lines 27-41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to place the power control information (i.e. value of the signal energy) in the sub-packet to make the extraction of the information fast and easy (i.e. without detecting and processing the header) and as the result make very quick power control adjustments as suggested by Guo (see column 14, lines 29-33).

As to claim 4 and 13, Choi and Balachandran disclose all the subject matters claimed in claims 1 and 10, except that the step of transmitting the message (power control information) comprises positioning the message between a preamble and a sub-packet. Guo shows that the step of transmitting the message comprises positioning the message between a preamble and a sub-packet (see Fig. 4B). It would

Art Unit: 2611

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to position the power control information (i.e. value of the signal energy) between the preamble and the sub-packet to make the extraction of the power control information fast and easy (i.e. without processing the preamble) and as the result make very quick power control adjustments as suggested by Guo (see column 14, lines 29-41).

4. Claims 7 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi and Balachandran, further in view of Moon et al. (hereafter, referred as Moon) (US 6,643,272).

As to claims 7 and 16, Choi and Balachandran disclose all the subject matters claimed in claims 1 and 10, except that the first station is a remote station and the second station in a base station. Moon, in the same field of endeavor, discloses a mobile communication system, which controls transmission power of radio links between a base station and a mobile (remote) station (See the abstract). Moon further discloses that the base station transmits a power control bit to the mobile station to make a power arbitration for transition and at the same time, mobile station transmits a power control bit to the base station, with an appropriate initial power (See column 10, lines 43-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to send power control signals from a remote station to a base station to increase the power efficiency of the communication system.

As to claim 17, Choi discloses a power control device and method in a mobile communication system (see the abstract and column 1, second paragraph). Choi discloses a transmission power control unit for determining an energy value (see the

Page 7

Art Unit: 2611

abstract, column 2, lines 41-52) for a transmission from a first station (interpreted as a base station) to a second station (interpreted as a remote terminal); a channel element coupled to the transmission power control unit for forming a message carrying the energy value (See Fig. 12, block 1214); and for transmitting the message to the second station. Choi discloses all the subject matters claimed in claim 17, except that there is a decoder residing in the second station. However, it would have been extremely well known in the art at the time of invention to use a decoder at the remote station (the receiver) to extract the original transmitted data from the coded information received from the base station. Choi also does not disclose that the energy value transmitted to the second station aids the decoder to decode the transmission. Balachandran discloses a header that is repeatedly transmitted and received, along with data, on a radio channel, wherein the header is decoded to identify values for the header fields (See the abstract). Balachandran further discloses that the header comprises a power reduction field (See column 4, lines 25-30) to increase the reliability of the decoding process (See column 4, lines 25-33). Therefore, for the reasons stated above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Choi as suggested by Balachandran. Neither Choi nor Balachandran disclose that transmitter is adapted to transmit the message in a forward link channel to the remote station. Moon in the same field of endeavor, discloses a mobile communication system (See the abstract), wherein a transmitter is adapted to transmit power control messages in a forward link channel to the remote station (See column 4, last paragraph). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify

Art Unit: 2611

Choi and Balachandran as suggested by Moon to transmit power control messages via the forward link channel in order to avoid using extra channels just for sending power control messages and save the bandwidth.

As to claim 18, Choi discloses a power control device and method in a mobile communication system (see the abstract and column 1, second paragraph). Choi discloses a transmission power control unit for determining an energy value (see the abstract, column 2, lines 41-52) for a transmission from a first station to a second station; a channel element coupled to the transmission power control unit for forming a message carrying the energy value (See Fig. 12, block 1214); and for transmitting the message to the second station. Choi discloses all the subject matters claimed in claim 18, except that there is a decoder residing in the base station. However, it would have been extremely well known in the art at the time of invention to use a decoder at the base station (the receiver) to extract the original transmitted data from the coded information received from the base station. Choi also does not disclose that the energy value transmitted to the second station aids the decoder to decode the transmission. Balachandran discloses a header that is repeatedly transmitted and received, along with data, on a radio channel, wherein the header is decoded to identify values for the header fields (See the abstract). Balachandran further discloses that the header comprises a power reduction field (See column 4, lines 25-30) to increase the reliability of the decoding process (See column 4, lines 25-33). Therefore, for the reasons stated above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Choi as suggested by Balachandran. Choi and Balachandran

disclose all the subject matters claimed in claim 18, except that the first station is a remote station and the second station in a base station. Also neither Choi, nor Balachandran, disclose that a transmitter is adapted to transmit the message in a reverse link channel to the base station. Moon, in the same field of endeavor, discloses a mobile communication system, which controls transmission power of radio links between a base station and a mobile (remote) station (See the abstract). Moon further discloses that the base station transmits a power control bit to the mobile station to make a power arbitration for transition and at the same time, mobile station transmits a power control bit to the base station, with an appropriate initial power (See column 10. lines 43-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to send power control signals from a remote station to a base station to increase the power efficiency of the communication system. Moon also discloses a transmitter adapted to transmit the message in a reverse link channel to the base station (See column 5, first paragraph). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Choi and Balachandran as suggested by Moon to transmit the message in a reverse link channel to the base station in order to avoid using extra channels just for sending power control messages and save the bandwidth.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leila Malek whose telephone number is 571-272-8731. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leila Malek Examiner Art Unit 2611

L.M.

MOHAMMED GHAYOUR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER